THE GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI THOMSON 1864 (COLEOPTERA: CERAMBYCIDAE)



ΒY

STEVEN W. LINGAFELTER

2

MEMOIRS OF THE ENTOMOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON, No. 20

with appreciation for his inspiring talent and dedication to teaching, research, and scientific illustration.

PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE

of

THE ENTOMOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON 1998

Thomas J. Henry Wayne N. Mathis Gary L. Miller, Book Review Editor David R. Smith, Editor

> Printed by Allen Press, Inc. Lawrence, Kansas 66044

Date issued: 5 March 1998

This work is dedicated to

Dr. Byron Alexander

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A historia	6
Abstract	7
Imiroduction	7
	8
Distribution and Diversity	8
Special Problems Associated with Monotypic Taxa	8
Biology and Natural History	9
Materials and Methods	9
Cladistic methods	9
Choice of taxa	9
Unavailable and fossil taxa	10
Specimen preparation	10
Character descriptions and states	12
Characters not used in analyses or key	12
Characters used in key only	12
Characters used in analyses	30
Implied Weighting Method of Parsimony	30
Phylogenetic analyses	22
Results of Phylogenetic Analyses	22
Discussion of Implied Weighting Consensus Tree	55
Discussion of Subset of Obtained Trees: The Five Shortest, Fittest Trees of the Implied Weight-	20
ing Analysis	38
Problematic Taxa and Discussion of Outgroup	38
Summary of Classification Changes	39
Generic Treatment	40
Tribe Elaphidiini	41
Adiposphaerion	47
Ambonus	49
Amethysphaerion	49
Aneflomorpha	50
Aneflus	51
Anelaphus	52
Anopliomorpha	52
Anoplocurius	53
Apoclausirion	55
Aposphaerion	55
Appula	55
Astromula	56
Atharsus	50
Atylostagma	57
Castiale	57
Centrocerum	57
Clausirion	58
Conosphaeron	58
Curtomerus	60
Flaphidion	60
Flaphidionopsis	61
Fnanhalodes	62
Environment of the second se	63
Eurosthea	63
Eurysmon	63
Lust on the Composition	64
Hemilissonsis	64
Itermissopsis	65
I instavanidas	65
Mollocera	67
Monamethus	67
Magansurassa	68
Menhritus	68
Metironeus	69

Micraneflus
Micranoplium
Micropsyrassa
Miltesthus
Minipsyrassa
Miontervx
Morphaneflus
Npanofus
Neongjud
Neonaribasum
Naphaliodan
Nepranous
Nesanopium
Nessosphaerion
Nesoaes
Nyssicostylus
Nyssicus
Orwellion
Pantonyssus
Paramallocera
Parasphaerion
Parastizocera
Parelaphidion
Periboeum
Piezophidion
Pilisphaerion
Poecilomallus
Protomallocara
Protorphasein
Provspinerion
r seudoperiloeum
P syrassa
Psyrassaforma
Khomboidederes
Romulus
Sphaerioeme
Sphaerion
Sphaerionillum
Stenelaphus
Stenosphenus
Stizocera
Terpnissa
Trichophoroides
Tropinerus
Key to Genera of Flambidiini
Conclusion
Acknowledgments
iterature Cited
Annendiy Is Toyo Hood in Die Lessavie Angle
Amendia 1: Taxa Used in Phylogenetic Analyses
Appendix 2: Provisional Ingroup Genera Not Represented in Phylogenetic Analyses.
naex

Abstract.—A generic-level phylogenetic analysis of the tribe Elaphidiini Thomson 1864 (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) is presented. Cladistic methods using morphological features and implied weighting parsimony were employed. The monophyly of the tribe is weakly supported by presence of antennal carinae, tibial carinae, and an abruptly rounded anterior margin of the mesonotum. Based on the results of the implied weights phylogenetic analysis and classificatory decisions, the following taxonomic changes are made: Four genera are transferred into other tribes and six genera are synonymized leaving 77 genera in Elaphidiini. Phoracanthini Lacordaire 1869 is removed from Elaphidiini and resurrected as a tribe with *Phoracantha* Newman 1840b as the type genus. *Cordylomera* Serville 1834 and *Allotraeus* Bates 1887 are not elaphidiines and are tentatively returned to Phoracanthini. Championa Bates 1880, and Orion Guérin-Méneville 1844 are removed from Elaphidiini and placed as *incertae sedis* in Cerambycinae. The following six **new generic** synonymies are proposed: Eutrichophoroides Linsley 1961b and Neotrichophoroides Linsley 1961b both = Trichophoroides Linsley 1935a. Nesostizocera Linsley 1961b = Stizocera Audinet-Serville 1834. Hemistizocera Linsley 1961b = Psyrassa Pascoe 1866. Peranoplium Linsley 1957b = Anelaphus Linsley 1936. Axestinus LeConte (1873) = Aneflus LeConte (1873). The following 29 new combinations are proposed: Aneflus obscurus (LeConte 1873), Anelaphus eximium (Bates 1885), Anelaphus hoferi (Knull 1934b), Anelaphus inornatum (Chemsak and Linsley 1979), Anelaphus maculatum (Chemsak and Noguera 1993), Anelaphus piceum (Chemsak 1962), Anelaphus simile (Schaeffer 1908), Anelaphus subdepressum (Schaeffer 1904), Anelaphus tuckeri (Casey 1924), Anelaphus undulatum (Bates 1880), Psyrassa cribricollis (Bates 1885), Stizocera atiaia (Martins and Napp 1983), Stizocera caymanensis (Fisher 1941), Stizocera dozieri (Fisher 1947), Stizocera floridana (Linsley 1949), Stizocera insulana (Gahan 1895), Stizocera jassuara (Martins and Napp 1983), Stizocera phisica (Gounelle 1909), Stizocera poeyi (Guérin-Méneville 1838), Stizocera punctiventris (Cazier and Lacey 1952), Stizocera submetallicus (Chemsak and Linsley 1968), Stizocera suturalis (Martins and Napp 1992), Stizocera vanzwaluwenburgi (Fisher 1932), Stizocera wagneri (Gounelle 1913), Trichophoroides albisparsus (Bates 1872), Trichophoroides jansoni (Bates 1885), Trichophoroides aurivillii (Linsley 1961), Trichophoroides decipiens (Bates 1880), Trichophoroides pilicornis (Fuchs 1961). Diagnoses of all genera are presented with notes on distribution, diversity, and relationships. A key to genera of Elaphidiini is presented.

LINGAFELTER. GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI

INTRODUCTION

elaphos, meaning deer, and latinized with the nominative singular termination, -on. The Elaphidiini is among the most ge-Thus the stem is *Elaphidi* and the tribal sufnerically diverse tribes in Cerambycidae. fix, -ini must be added, forming Elaphidiini Seventy-seven genera and over 520 species (following *Ilion* = Iliidae example from are currently known for this group of wood-ICZN, page 211). Until this study only two boring beetles. Most species are nocturnal, of these original genera (Orion Guérinand in some regions of México at certain Méneville and Elaphidion Audinet-Serville) were still in the tribe. The others are abundant cerambycids attracted to lights. currently distributed among other tribes including Hesperophanini, Callidiopini, and Methiini. Thomson further characterized greatest species diversity in the warmer latthe tribe Eburitae, originally proposed by Blanchard (1845), as including many other currently recognized elaphidiine taxa including Atylostagma White, Centrocerum Chevrolat, Ambonus Gistel, Sphaerion Audinet-Serville, Periboeum Thomson, Appula Thomson, Stizocera Audinet-Serville, Mallocera Audinet-Serville, and Eurysthea Thomson. Thomson (1864) defined the Elaphidiini as having body convex, eyes coarsely faceted, femora slightly clavate, and elytral apices spinose. These characters separated Elaphidionitae from his Eburitae which had body subdepressed, elytra without apical spines, and femora clavate. Lacordaire (1869) proposed the groups Hespérophanides (including taxa which are currently in Elaphidiini and Hesperophanini, characterized by the non-globose anterior coxae, externally open intermediate coxal cavities, and generally unspined antennae), Éburiides (mainly consisting of taxa with ebumeous elytral calli but identified primarily on the basis of externally-closed in-TAXONOMIC HISTORY termediate coxal cavities, globose anterior Thomson (1864) proposed the "Divicoxae, and unspined antennae), Phoracanthides (including taxa currently in Elaphidiini and Phoracanthini, characterized by spined but non-carinate antennae, intermediate coxal cavities open externally, procoxal cavities not angulate externally), and Sphérionides (including nearly all of Thomson's Eburitae, characterized by spines and carinae on antennae, anterior coxal cavities angulate externally, and intermediate coxal

times of the year, elaphidiines are the most As currently defined, elaphidiines occur from Canada to South America, with the itudes. Characters to define and diagnose genera have rarely been found or applied in this group, and the morphological similarity among genera has precipitated its confused taxonomic history. With this study, I present an overview of what is known on the taxonomy, diversity, and biology of Elaphidiini. I developed a list of explicitly defined characters and states for all elaphidiine genera and potentially closely related taxa and coded these characters in an extensive matrix. I provided the first cladistic analyses of genera in this tribe in an attempt to discover the evolutionary history and decipher their relationships. For each genus, I provide a diagnosis, description (in the matrix), comments on distribution and diversity, and a discussion of relationships and similarities to other taxa. A key to all the genera of Elaphidiini (and similar taxa with mesally spined antennae) is provided for their identification. sion" Elaphidionitae to include ten genera. This family group name was based on the genus Elaphidion Audinet-Serville. Elaphidionini has been used since 1930, but Ivie (1985) indicated Elaphidiini should be the appropnate name of the tribe. The basis of Ivie's suggestion rests on the actual stem of Elaphidion. The ICZN (Article 29a) states that the appropriate family group suffix is added to the stem of the name of the type cavities open externally). genus. Elaphidion was based on the Greek The variability of the characters above

(antennal carinae, open/closed intermediate coxal cavities, procoxal cavities angulate/ not angulate, and antennal spines) was acknowledged by Linsley (1936). He noted that the distinction of Sphaerionini from Phoracanthini (including Elaphidiini) was not satisfactory. In typical Phoracanthini (including Elaphidiini), he indicated that the anterior coxal cavities may be either closed or open in closely related species of the same genus, and therefore was not a useful character. He felt that the most reliable characters were the non-carinate antennae and tibiae in Phoracanthini, but recognized that even those characters were not consistent in species of *Elaphidion*. Linsley (1963) included the sphaerionine and phoracanthine genera in his new concept of Elaphidiini, rendering Phoracanthini and Sphaerionini as junior synonyms.

DISTRIBUTION AND DIVERSITY

The Elaphidiini as recognized herein, have a widespread distribution, but with distinct concentrations of diversity. The "elaphidiine" group is most concentrated in México and the West Indies, extending into South America and Canada. The "sphaerionine" group is most concentrated in South America, extending into Central America and México. The number of described Elaphidiini in the Westem Hemisphere exceeds 500 species (Monné 1993). In México alone the number is over 200 species (Chemsak 1991, Monné 1993, Monné and Giesbert 1993). This tribe has a very unusual ratio of species to genera. There are 86 genera of which 32 are monotypic (37%), 15 are bitypic (17%), and only 39 (46%) have more than two species (many have only three species).

SPECIAL PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH MONOTYPIC TAXA

The existence of small and monotypic genera is an important problem in this study. Genenc taxa should be erected to convey information on characteristics that bind sets of species together, not unique

characters or combinations of characters which endlessly split natural groups of species. These are the same attributes expected of a phylogeny. The carefully defined genus taxon (or clade) should allow one to make predictions or generalizations about other species included (Clayton 1972, Gauld and Mound 1982). No group of taxa should be removed from a clade if it will render either paraphyletic. If this happens, one loses the potential predictive attributes (for host plant associations, biological compound prospecting, adaptative characters, rates of speciation, etc.) of the phylogeny and the classification becomes meaningless. However, there will always be subjectivity in determining the amount of difference necessary to erect a new taxon in cases where this will not render one paraphyletic with respect to the other.

The Elaphidiini have a history of generic concepts based on presumed unique combinations of widespread character states and such genera do not convey the information or allow the predictions or generalizations that would be preferred. It is my intention to lessen this problem by redefining genera on the basis of detailed morphological examination and results of the phylogenetic analyses.

BIOLOGY AND NATURAL HISTORY

Very little is known of the biology of Elaphidiini. As nearly all of them are nocturnal as adults, over 95% of the specimens are taken at lights and thus are collected without any host or association records. Their abundance at lights can be remarkable. In westem México, 13 nights of collecting at one illuminated roadside sign produced 1700 specimens of longhom beetles. Elaphidiines represented 63% of all specimens and 35% of all species (Chemsak, et al. 1988)!

The following is a generalized life cycle of elaphidiines (for temperate species, summarized and generalized from Solomon 1995). Adults emerge in spring or surnmer, mate, and females lay the eggs in notches

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI

in bark of dead branches. The larvae either feed for some time under the bark, or immediately enter the wood, feeding and developing within the heartwood. Larval development most often takes one to three years, correlated with the size of the beetle. A pupal cell is created in the region between the bark and sapwood at the end of larval development. Pupation occurs in either late summer to early fall, or early spring. Adults do not emerge until spring or summer, regardless of when pupation occurs.

It is assumed that adults of most elaphidiines (like many longhomed beetles) feed very little or not at all, but few references to feeding behavior exist. Specimens in classification; and develop a key to genera. some genera (Anelaphus, Elaphidion, Parelaphidion Skiles, Enaphalodes Haldeman) are attracted in great numbers to brown sugar bait solutions (Lingafelter and Homer 1981, and Wiley, et al. 1991). 1993), indicating their natural attraction to Choice of taxa.—I have used the type sap flows or other natural high-sugar species for each provisional elaphidiine gesources of nutrition. Adults of at least two nus in the analyses when possible. If the diurnal genera including Tropimerus Giestype species was not available. I hypothebert (Giesbert 1987), and Stenosphenus sized that the chosen taxon was a compa-Haldeman (Giesbert and Chemsak 1989) rable representative for the genus based on are commonly encountered on flowering knowledge of the type species and at least trees. Aneflomorpha tenuis (LeConte) adults superficial similarity to it by the chosen taxhave been reported feeding in large numon. I also included additional representabers on Karwinskia blossoms (Tumbow and tives of large, diverse genera to better allow Wappes 1981). Adults of Anelaphus albotests for their monophyly. fasciatus Linell have been reported feeding Because the Elaphidiini have had no phyon new growth of *Opuntia* (Raske 1972).

logenetic investigations previously, it is im-Twig-girdling—cutting off the flow of portant to avoid a restricted outgroup nutrients or chemicais to a portion of the choice. In fact, all potentially closely relatplant, thereby killing part of it—is a behaved taxa should be included in the analyses ior most commonly associated with the disto allow for the most rigorous test of monotantly related Onciderini. In this group the phyly for the ingroup (Nixon and Carpenter girdling is performed by the adult female 1993). I have included a broad representaprior to oviposition. Girdling has also been tion of additional, potentially closely relatnoted to occur in at least three genera of ed tribes within the subfamily Cerambyci-Elaphidiini including Psyrassa Pascoe (Champlain, et al. 1925); Aneflomorpha Unavailable and fossil taxa.-Due to the Casey (Craighead 1923); and Anelaphus number of rare, monotypic genera in this Linsley (Craighead 1950), although in these tribe, some genera could not be represented groups the girdling is internal and done by in the analyses either because the specimens the larvae. were not available for examination, or be-

Larval hosts are not known for most specause the few rare specimens could not be

cies. Many taxa in the southem United States and México are associated with leguminous plants of the genera Prosopis and Acacia (Linsley 1963, and references cited therein). Much additional information is being discoverd by G. Tavakilian in French Guyana.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cladistic methods.-The goals of this study are to: recharacterize the tribe Elaphidiini and the included genera based on examination of morphological characters of the adults; perform a phylogenetic analysis in order to propose a hypothesis of generic relationships and reveal needed changes in I have used the principles and tenets of cladistics to guide the methods described here (Forey, et al. 1994, Hennig 1966, Wiley

10

dissected for examination of the full range of phylogenetically important characters. Ornission of taxa is probably very common in phylogenetic analyses since most extinct taxa have an undiscovered or absent fossil record, or insufficient information can be gleaned from their fossil record. The Elaphidiini have a poor fossil record with North American examples known only from Florissant, Colorado (Linsley 1942). I examined in the MCZC two of the three described fossil species of Elaphidiini, Anelaphus extinctus (Wickham) and Stenosphenus pristinus Wickham (Fig. 11). I have compared my observations with those of Wickham (1914) and have concluded that these fossils convey insufficient information for inclusion in the phylogenetic analyses. These taxa, along with *Elaphidion* fracticorne Wickham, should be designated incertae sedis at the level of genus.

Summary of terminal taxa.—I included 89 provisional ingroup species and 10 provisional outgroup species as terminal taxa (Appendix 1) in the phylogenetic analyses. Specimens used in the analyses were obtained from the collections listed in Table 1. Listed in Appendix 2 are provisional elaphidiine genera which were excluded from the analyses because no specimens were available for dissection.

Specimen preparation.—For the phylogenetic analyses and key, I used characters of the adult morphology. Since the larvae are unknown for most elaphidiine species, this potential data set could not be used. Specimens were prepared by relaxing them in hot water for 5–10 minutes, depending on size. Then, the head, prothorax, abdomen, elytra, hind wings, and genitalia were disarticulated. The wings, and in some cases, genitalia, were placed directly into vials of 50% glycerin/50% of 80% ethanol. The remainder of the beetle was transferred to a vial containing a 5–10% KOH solution, and was carefully heated for 10-30 minutes, depending on size. This procedure caused digestion of the muscle tissue which otherwise would obscure characters of the below, the matrix substitutes as a presen-

sclerites. Male genitalia, labia, maxillae, and mesonota were then transferred to the glycerin vial. The remaining body parts were partially cleared in 10% hydrogen peroxide solution for 1–10 minutes depending on structure and amount of melanization. When cleared, remaining structures were transferred to the glycerin vials.

Types of characters used in phylogenetic analysis and key.-The majority of characters used were exoskeletal features or cuticular processes visible through dissection microscopy with fiber optic illumination and magnification less than $20 \times$. Additional characters could only be revealed effectively through the use of Nomarski interference compound microscopy. Presumptive homologous characters were compared and discretely variable states were identified and coded in the data matrix. Wilkinson (1992) presents an argument for and against ordering of characters. I have treated all characters as unordered according to the principle of indifference (Keynes 1921) summarized in Wilkinson (1992). This assumption proposes that transformation between states is equiprobable, and there is no information available to suggest otherwise. Some characters were found to be continuously variable. Thiele (1993) presents justification and a procedure for using continuou~morphometric data in phylogenetic analyses. However, due to the limitations of software to deal with all potential states and the artificial state construction formula of Thiele (1993: 284). I chose to exclude continuously variable characters from the phylogenetic analyses. These characters were used in the key and coded multiple ways for taxa with ambiguous states.

Character descriptions and states .--- A to-tal of 102 derived character states for 70 characters was used in the phylogenetic analyses. These were entered and maintained using MacClade software (Maddison and Maddison 1992). As these are presented in an extensive matrix (Table 2), and the character states are thoroughly discussed

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI

Table 1. Institutions and private collections that provided material for this study

Institution

Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, Per (ANSP, Donald Azuma) American Museum of Natural History, New York

(AMNH, Lee Herman) Australian National Insect Collection-CSIRO, Ca

(ANIC, Tom Weir)

Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawaii (California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, (CASC, David Kavanaugh, Roberta Brett)

Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, Ontario, C (CMNC, Bob Anderson)

Canadian National Collection, Ottawa, Ontario, (CNCI, Ed Becker, Jean McNamara)

Carnegie Museum, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (CM Daniel Heffern private collection, Houston, Texas David Marqua private collection, Davis Mountain Edward Riley private collection, College Station Edmund Giesbert private collection, Beverly Hill Essig Museum of Entornology, Berkeley, Californ (CISC, John Chemsak, Cheryl Barr)

Estación del Biología Chamela, Jalisco, Mexico Field Museum, Chicago, Illinois (FMNH, Al Nev Frank Hovore private collection, Los Angeles, Ca Henry Stockwell private collection, Panamá City Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad, Santo Domin (INBC, Angel Solís)

Jim Wappes private collection, Bulverde, Texas Marlin Rice private collection, Ames, Iowa (MRI Montana State University Entomology Collection (MTEC, Mike Ivie)

Museu de Zoologia da Universidad de São Paulo, (MZSP, Ubirajara Martins)

Museu Nacional Quinta da Boa Vista, Rio de Jan (QBUM, Miguel Monné)

Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, Fran (MNHN, Jean Meniér)

Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, M (MCZC, David Furth, Cleone Graham)

National Institute Agro-Env. Sciences, Kannondai, Japan (ITLJ, T. Matsumura, Akiko Saito)

Natural History Museum, London, England (BMN Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panamá (STRI, Annette Aiello and Donald Windsor)

Snow Entomological Museum, Lawrence, Kansas Steven Lingafelter private collection, Washington, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas (TAMU, Horace Burke, Ed Riley)

National Museum of Natural History, Washington, (NMNH, Terry Erwin and Gloria House)

Universidade Federal do Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Br Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Paraná, (DZUP, Solange Napp)

Universidad Nacional Autonomia de México, D. F (UNAM, Silvia Santiago Fragoso)

University of Nebraska State Museum, Lincoln, N (UNSM, Brett Ratcliffe)

University of Colorado Museum, Boulder, Colorad (UCMC, Virginia Scott)

	Visited?	Loan/gift
nnsylvania		
x, New York	no	yes
anberra, Australia	yes	yes
	no	yes
, California	no	yes
Canada	yes	yes
Canada	yes	yes
	yes	yes
ANH, John Rawlins)	no	yes
is (DHPC)	yes	yes
ns, Texas (DMPC)	yes	yes
n, Texas (ERPC)	yes	yes
Is, California (EGPC) nia	yes	yes
	yes	yes
(EBCC, Felipe Noguera)	no	yes
wton, Philip Parrillo)	no	yes
alifornia (FHPC)	yes	yes
y, Panamá (HSPC) ngo, Herédia, Costa Rica	yes	yes
	yes	yes
(JWPC)	no	ves
APC)	no	ves
n, Bozeman, Montana		,
, São Paulo, Brazil	yes	yes
neiro, Brazil	yes	yes
ince	yes	yes
lassachussets	no	yes
, Tsukuba, Ibaraki Pref.,	yes	yes
	no	yes
NH, S. Shute) City, Panamá	no	yes
	yes	yes
(SEMC, J. Steve Ashe)	yes	ves
, D. C. (SLPC)	_	
, D. C.	yes	yes
	yes	yes
razil (UFVB, Dr. Fiuza) , Brazil	yes	no
7.	yes	yes
Jebraska	no	yes
lo	yes	yes
	no	yes

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI



with terminology of Kukalová-Peck and Lawrence (1993).

tation of detailed descriptions for each genus. Additionally, male genitalia were examined for all available taxa and (as explained below) not found to be useful for the analysis or key. Leg shape was also examined and these data were included in the key but could not be coded for the phylogenetic analyses. A discussion of all characters examined in this study follows.

12

Characters not used in analyses or key.— Genitalia of many genera of Elaphidiines and related taxa were examined. In males, variation was discovered in the length of the parameres, paramere setae, and shape of the eighth tergite (Fig. 3). In females, variation was observed in the position of the stylus of the coxite and the length and number of setae present on the stylus (terminology based on Saito 1989). Because males and females were not available for all terminal taxa and the noted variation was not discrete, genitalic characters were not included in the phylogenetic analyses. Since the key was intended to be practical and not require dissections, genitalic information was not included.

Characters used in key only.--Femoral shape (Fig. 37) was found to vary tremendously among genera. Historically, the terms "clavate," "pedunculate," and "linear" have been applied to qualitatively define this variation. I attempted to devise formulae based on six measurements of the femur that could give a quantitative and consistent basis for these terms. I present an example (Fig. 47) which shows and defines these measurements and how they are used. All measurements and variables are defined in that figure legend. Two ratios are used, "CR" and "PR." I developed the ratio "CR" to determine presence or absence of clavate femora. CR is x/y from Fig. 47. I developed the ratio PR to determine presence or absence of pedunculate femora. PR is A/B from Fig. 47. If PR and CR > 3, then I determined the femur to be pedunculate. If CR > 2.5, but PR < 3, then the femur is clavate. If CR < 2.5, then the femur is gradually enlarged or linear. I from all points. The overall surface of the

found, however, that these ratios did not adequately account for the differences in femoral shape and they also varied continuously. Therefore, I excluded these characters from the phylogenetic analyses, but I did include leg-shape descriptions in the key, coding them multiple ways for borderline values.

CHARACTERS USED IN ANALYSES

The following is a description of all the characters used in the phylogenetic analyses. Some of these characters were also used in the key. Character and state numbers refer to the data matrix (Table 2). This matrix, combined with the detailed character and state discussion below, serves the function of generic descriptions. Many of the following characters and their states are illustrated (Figs. 1-49). Additional morphological information is presented in Figs. 1-5A, 6, 8–10, and 49 as a reference aid for the characters used in the analyses. The terminal taxa used in the analyses are meant to be representative of the genera and fine morphological details such as setae and punctation are not always shown, since these attributes can vary among individuals. No comments are included here as to primitive and derived states since all terminals included in the analyses were treated as potential ingroup taxa. For most characters, comments are included here regarding the states possessed by terminal taxa (including the provisional outgroup taxa).

Character 1.--Ommatidial size (Fig. 39): (0) large (coarse), Fig. 39B; (1) small (fine), Fig. 39A. Taxa with coarse ommatidia usually have the lower eye lobe occupying >50% of the head when viewed laterally. Each coarse facet is relatively large and convex with light reflected only from the outermost point. The overall surface of the coarsely faceted eye appears uneven. Most taxa with fine ornrnatidia have smaller eyes, with the lower lobe occupying <50% of the head when viewed laterally. Each fine facet is relatively small and reflects light evenly

Figs. 1-4. Morphology of Elaphidiini. 1, Lateral habitus of *Elaphidion mucronatum* (head pronotum. elytron, hindwing, and legs removed). (A) metanotum; (B) mesonotum; (C) mesepimeron; (D) mesepistemum; (E) mesosternum; (F) metepisternum; (G) metasternum; (H) metacoxa. 2, Venter of head of *Elaphidion mucronatum*. (A) labium; (B) maxilla. 3 Ventral view. variation in male genitalia of (A) Phoracantha semipunctata (Fabrcius) and (B) Eburia haldemani LeConte. (a) eighth tergite; (b) parameres; (c) eighth sternite; (d) sternite-8 apodeme: (e) median lobe Terminology from Fragoso (1985) and Fragoso, et al. (1987). 4, Hind wing of Aneflus protensus

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI

Table 2. Continued.

	1	2	3	4	1234567890	6 1234567890	7				1 1234567890
AXON	1234567690	1234567890	1234567890	1234567890	1234307090	1234307050	1234307090	17		_	
	0010001011	0110002012	1200011101	200010201?	0000001011	2221000000	1000210000		Neotrichophoroides p.		0100021011
mbonus d.	0010021011	1112002012	1200010100	1000101011	0010010011	2220000000	1000100000		Nephaliodes r.		0000021011
neflomorpha r.	0000021011	1112002012	1200010101	1000101011	0010010011	2220000000	1000100000		Nesodes i.		0010121011
neflomorpha I.	0000121011	1112002012	1200010101	1000101011	0010010011	2220000000	100000000		Nesostizocera f.		0000021011
neflomorpha p.	0000021011	1112002012	1200010101	1000101011	0010010011	2220000000	1000100000		Nesostizocera V.		0000021011
neflomorpha f.	0000071021	2101011012	1200010100	1000101011	0010010011	2220000000	1000100000		Nyssicostylus a.		0020021011
neflus p.	0000001011	2101011012	1100010100	1000101011	0010000011	2220000200	1000100000		Nyssicus t.		0000021010
neflus b.	0000001010	1011012012	1200010100	6000101001	0010212011	2220000100	1000210000		Orion p.		0000021011
nelaphus m.	0010121011	1011012012	1200010100	6000102001	0010002011	2220000100	1000210000	200	Orwellion g.		0110121010
nelaphus p.	0010121011	1011002012	1200010101	6000101001	0010012011	2220000100	1000210000		Panfonyssus n.		0000021011
nelaphus <i>s</i> .	0010121011	111002012	1200010100	2010101012	0001010001	2220000100	1000210000		Paramallocera c.		0000021011
nopliomorpha r.	0010121011	0010012012	13000000000	1000202022	0000210011	2221010200	1000100001		Parastizocera p.		000002101
noplocurius a.	0000131001	0012012012	1000010101	3000101001	0010000011	2221100000	1000210000		Parelaphidion i.		0010121010
posphaerion I.	0010021010	0112112112	1200010101	200010101011	0000211000	1011000200	0000210000		Peranoplium U.		0011121011
ppula I.	0020021011	1112001002	1200010100	1000001001	0000202011	2220010100	1000210000		Peranoplium s		0011121011
stromula n.	0010121001	1011012012	1000010100	1000100011	0013000010	0101010200	1000101000		Peranoplium h.		0011121011
tylostagma p.	0010001010	1111000012	1000010100	1000100011	0010200011	2220020100	1000210000		Periboeum a.		0000021010
xestinus o.	00001?1001	2001011012	1200010100	1000101011	0010200011	0001000000	1000210000		Phoracanfhas.		0000021010
astiale e.	0000021011	0110011002	1100011100	10001010111	0010001010	2221010200	1000210000		Poecilomallus p.		0000171010
entrocerum e.	0000111021	0011111012	1200010101	1000100111	0010211011	2221002000	1001210000		Protornallocera h.		0020021011
hampiona e.	1000141011	1012112012	0100011101	4100001011	0001000000	2221000000	1000010000		Protosphaerion v.		000002101
lausirion c.	0000121010	1112001112	1200010101	2000100001	0000100000	2221000200	1000210000		Pseudomallocera a.		0000021011
onosphaeron s.	0000001011	0112002011	1300010100	4000102011	0000010001	222101000200	1000200000		Pseudoperiboeum s.		0000021011
urtomerus f.	0000002001	1011001012	1000011100	1000101010	0010011011	0101010100	1000210000		Psyrassa si		0000001011
laphidion m.	0010121010	0012000012	1200010110	0000100001	0010002011	0101010100	1000210000		Psyrassa h		0000011011
Iaphidion I.	0010121010	0012001012	1200010110	0000101001	0010002011	2222000000	1000210000		Psyrassa st		000001 101 1
laphidion e.	0000121001	0112100012	1200011100	0000101011	0010001011	2221000000	1100210000		Psyrassaforrna n		0020001011
laphidion p.	0110122011	1012100012	1100011100	0000101001	0010011011	0101020100	1000220000		Rhomboldederes o		0000021010
laphidion s.	0010121010	0012000012	1200010110	0000101001	0010002011	0101010100	1000210000		Sphaerioeme r		0000721011
naphalodes a.	0010121010	0112100012	1200010101	2000100002	0000?02011	2220010200	1000220000		Sphaerion ca		0020021011
urvsthea o.	0000021001	0110100002	1200011100	1000100011	0000112001	2211000200	1000210000	21	Sphaerion cv		0020021011
ustromula v	0010121011	1111100012	120001010?	0000101002	0000212011	2220000200	1000210000		Sobserionillum c		0000021011
utrichophoroidesa	0100021010	0110001012	1200011100	4000100011	0000001001	2221000000	1000210000		Stenelanhys a		0000021021
utrichophoroides i.	0100021011	0110000012	1200011101	4000102011	0000701001	2221000000	1000210000		Stenosnhenuse		1000021011
Gvmnospvra m.	0010121010	1111012012	130001010?	4000101001	0000002011	2220000100	1000210000		Stizocera I		0000021011
roneus s	00?0021011	0112012012	0000111101	10001020?1	0010000011	2220000200	1000110000		Stizocera n		0000021011
roneus d	1000021011	0112001012	1000011100	1000102011	0010000011	2220000200	0000110000		Stizocera a		0000021011
Mallocera n	0020021011	0112100000	1000010100	1000102011	0000001001	1111000000	10000210010		Tornnissa i		0000021011
Meganeflus f	0000131011	1112001012	1200010100	1000101013	00102?0011	2220000001	100000000		Trichonhoroidecn		0100021011
Megansvrassa y	0000001011	1111012112	1100010100	0000101011	0010000011	2210000200	1010110000		Ceresium sp		0100021011
Nenhritus d	0000021011	0110100000	1200011100	4000102011	0001?01001	2221000200	1000010000		Ectonesse V		0000101001
Matironaus h	0000001011	0112002012	0000011100	0000101011	0010000011	2220000000	1000210000		Allotracus c		0000021001
Aicraneflus i	0000?01001	2111112012	1200010100	1000101011	0010200011	2220010200	1000110000		Neocomosa t		0000021011
Micronsvrassa h	0000021021	0112002012	1300011101	100010001	0010010011	2221000200	1000210000	1	Eburia -		0010051001
Miltoothuo m	0020021011	0012102012	1000010100	0000102012	2 00002010?1	2221000100	1000210000		Ebulia q.		0010020001
Mintestnus Mi.	0000021111	0112000002	1100010100	400010001	010320100	2221000000	101000000		Achryson s.		0010021001
wiopteryx s.	0000021001	0112001012	1200010101	400010101	0010201011	2220010210	1000010000		Batyle s.		1000000000
Morphanetius P	0000221011	2011002012	1200010100	100010101	1 001021001	2220020200	100000000		Hesperophanessp.		0010020000
Neaneflus f.	000021011	1112100001	1200010100	000010100	2 000000100	2021000200	0000210000		Coleoxestia n.		00?0101000
Neornallocera o.	0000021011	0112002012	1200011101	000010001	1 10100?001	2221000000	1000210000		Cordylomera sp.		0000020010
Neoperiboeum i.	0100021021	0110000012	1200011100	400010001	000020000	2221000000	1000210000				
Neotrichophoroides d.	0100021011	0110000072	1200011100								

14

TAXON

Ambonus d.

Aneflus p.

Aneflus b.

Anelaphus m.

Anelaphus p.

Anelaphuss.

Anopliomorpha r

Anoplocurius a.

Aposphaerion I.

Astromula n.

Axestinus o.

Castiale e.

Championa e.

Clausirion c.

Conosphaeron s

Appula I.

Aneflomorpha i

Aneflomorpha I.

Aneflomorpha p

Aneflomorpha f.

Table 2. Character state matrix for taxa of Elaphidiini and other Cerambycinae used in phylogenetic analysis.

finely faceted eye appears smooth. This character has been used since Lacordaire (1869) for classification of Cerambycidae. Because ornmatidial facet size is related to the diel activity of the beetle (finely faceted in diurnal adults, coarsely faceted in nocturnal adults), it cannot be used at higher

levels which contain many taxa of differing behaviors. My studies show ornmatidial size to be consistent within genera, however. Virtually all traditional elaphidiine taxa have coarsely faceted eyes. Those with finely faceted eyes include: Championa Bates, Ironeus Bates (in part), Sphaerionillum Bates, Stenosphenus Haldeman, an Tropimerus Giesbert.

Character 2.—Supraocular pubescen (O) absent or not differentiated from su rounding head region; (1) present and der (Fig. 19). Patches, when present, range sim from small separated regions of dense like (Fig. 30B). Mandibles in Cerambycidae

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1234567890	1234567890	1234567890	1234567690	1234567890	1234567890	1234567890
	04444444	1000011101	1000100011			
010002101	0110000012	1200011101	4000100011	0000200001	2221000000	1000210000
0000021011	0112001012	1100001100	0000102011	0010001011	221 1000000	1000100000
0010121011	1011102012	1200010100	6000101011	0000010011	2220010000	1000210000
0000021011	0112000010	1000111101	1000100011	0010000011	1201000000	1000200000
0000021011	0110101001	1200010100	4000100011	0001201001	2221000200	1000210000
0020021011	1110010000	1201010100	4000100001	0001201001	2221000200	1000210000
0000021010	0011102010	1000010101	000010101012	0000001001	2220010100	1000210000
0110121010	0112100012	1200010100	6000101002	0000012011	2220000100	1000210000
0000021011	0112001012	1100010100	0000101011	0010000011	1011000000	1000210000
0000021011	0010100010	1200010100	0000102001	000000001	1111010000	1000210000
0000021011	01 1000001 1	1000011100	0000101011	0010?10011	1011000000	1000210000
0010121010	1012100012	1200010100	6000101011	0000202011	2220010100	1000210000
0011121011	1011012012	120001010?	6000101001	0010012011	2220000100	1000210000
0011121011	1011012112	1300010100	6000102001	0010012011	2220000100	1000210000
001112101 1	1011012112	1300010100	6000102001	0010012011	2220000100	1000210000
0000021010	1110000001	1200011100	100010001?	000000011	2211000200	1000?10000
0000021010	0012000010	100001010?	0000102012	001000001	0001010000	1000210000
00001?1010	101 100 20 12	1000010101	2000101001	0010211011	2221000100	1000210000
0020021011	0112100001	1200010100	000010101 1	0000201001	2221000000	0000210000
000002101	0010101012	1200010101	0000101011	0000000??	2221010100	1000210000
0000021011	1112100011	100001010?	2000101011	0002011001	1111002000	0000210010
0000021011	0112001010	1200011101	100010?011	0010001011	2220000000	1000110000
0000001011	0111002112	1100000100	1000101011	0010000011	2221000000	1000000000
0000011011	0111012112	1100000100	1000101011	0010010011	2221000000	1000000000
000001 101 1	OL 11002112	1100000101	1000101011	0010010011	2221000000	1000100000
00?0001011	1101002012	1200011101	1000101011	0010000011	2220000200	100000000
0000021010	01 10001000	101 001 01 00	4000102011	0000010001	2221000200	1000210000
0000??1011	0110102002	1000010101	4000100011	0000200001	2221000000	1000000000
0020021011	0110000000	1200011100	4000101011	0001201100	2221000200	1010210000
0020021011	0110001000	1200011100	4000101011	0001201100	2221000200	1010210000
0000021011	0112002112	1000010101	1000102012	0010201011	2111000000	1000211000
10000071021	0012100012	1200010100	1000112001	0000010011	2220000200	1000210000
1000021011	0112012012	1000011101	1000101011	0010100011	2210000000	1000200000
0000021011	QL 12001012	1100101101	1000100011	0010000011	0101000000	1000210000
0000021011	0112002012	0000101101	1000101011	0010000011	0101000000	1000210000
0000021011	0110112002	1000101100	1000100011	0010000011	1101000200	1000210000
0100021011	0110112002	120001010000	4000101011	0001101000	2221000100	0000210100
0100021011	1012000012	1200010100	4000102011	0000200001	2220000200	1000210000
0000101001	1112000012	1200010101	1000101017	007000071	2221010000	1000110000
0000021001	0010001012	1200010000	4001100011	00/12/00/0	2221000000	1000210000
0010021011	0112102112	1100010101	4000101002	0000212001	22221000000	1000210000
0010021001	0012011012	1000010101	4000100011	0011010010	0101010000	1000210000
0010021001	0010002012	1200010000	1000101001	0001201001	2221010000	1000110000
1000000000	0011012012	1100011000	400010101012	0010200000	2221010000	1001210000
0010020000	001 1101002	1200010000	4000101001	00031 1 0000	2221010200	1000110000
00?0101000	2012012011	1000010300	0000101017	0010001000	2221010200	1000010000
0000020010	101 1001 112	1100011101	400120101 1	0000110000	2221010200	1001010000

nd	hair as in Orwellion Skiles to large, contig-
	uous patches as in Linsleyonides Skiles.
ce:	Character 3.—Right mandibular tooth
ur-	(Fig. 30): (0) elongate, planar to indistinct
ise	(Fig. 30C); (1) short, distinct and often bi-
in	dentate (Fig. 30A); (2) elongate and mesa-

are asymmetrical, with notches on one corresponding to teeth on the other (Fig. 29). To avoid inconsistency in designating these character states, I compared only right mandibles among taxa. State 0 is recognized by not having any indentation in the incisor region towards the apex of the mandible, when viewed from the ventral side. State 1 is recognized by having an apical and basal indentation, creating a raised plateau which also helps to define the prosthecal region. States 0 and 1 are widespread among terminal taxa. State 2 is recognized by having two apical indentations separated by a small tooth so that the apex appears bidentate. This state is much less widespread, occurnng only in Appula Thomson, Mallocera Audinet-Serville. Miltesthus Bates. Nvssicostylus Melzer, Protomallocera Martins and Napp, and Sphaerion Audinet-Serville. Morphological terms for mandible are from Lawrence, et al. in Stehr (1991) and Napp (1994).

Character 4.—Subapical incisor region: (0) narrow; (1) wide. State 0 is the general condition among all Elaphidiini and related taxa examined and is characterized by a narrow width between the dorsal and ventral margins of the subapical incisor region (much less than one-third width of base of mandible viewed from mesal, biting surface). State 1, shared by *Peranoplium* and Anelaphus, is characterized by having widely separated **dorsal** and ventral planes of the subapical incisor region (distinctly greater than one-third width of base of mandible viewed from mesal, biting surface).

Character 5.—Digitiform sensillum patch on terminal labial palpomere: (0) present; (1) absent. See descriptive discussion of this feature in next character. Digitiform sensilla are absent from the labial palpi in the speciose, primarily North American genera including Anelaphus, Peranoplium, and *Elaphidion*. Most other taxa examined possess these sensilla on the labial palpi.

Character 6. — Digitiform sensillum patch on terminal maxillary palpomere (Fig. 5): (0) diffuse patch with sparse sensilla (Fig.

5B); (1) wide, apically positioned patch with indistinct edges (Fig. 5A); (2) narrow, apically positioned patch with defined edges (Fig. 5C, D); (3) digitiform patch absent; (4) narrow, distinct, basally positioned patch. The digitiform sensilla, which can occur on the terminal labial palpomeres (see Character 5) and maxillary palpomeres, are widespread and variable in beetles (Lawrence and Newton 1995) and particularly so in Chrysomeloidea (Mann and Crowson 1984). This character can only be seen effectively with Nomarski interference compound microscopy or scanning electron rnicroscopy. In all Cerambycidae examined except Championa, the digitiform patches occurred towards the outer apex of the terminal palpomeres. This supports the observation of Mann and Crowson (1984) who noted this fundamental difference between Chrysomelidae and Cerambycidae, with most Chrysomelidae (except some Sagra and *Timarcha*) having basally positioned sensillum patches. The well-defined narrow, apical patch (State 2) is most widespread in the terminal taxa of this study.

Character 7.—Apex of terminal maxillary palpomere: (0) not expanded, width much less than half length; (1) moderately expanded (Figs. 5A, C, D); (2) very expanded, greater than three-fourths length (Fig. 5B). The moderate apical expansion of the terminal maxillary palpomere occurs in all traditional elaphidiine genera except Curtomerus Stephens, which has greatly expanded palpi. Most taxa in other Cerambycinae tribes (and other subfamilies) have unexpanded terminal maxillary palpomeres.

Character 8.—Maxillary palpomeres 3-5: (0) short and thick (each less than $1.5 \times$ longer than wide) (Fig. 5A–D); (1) elongate and narrow (each much greater than $1.5 \times$ longer than wide). The unique slender condition of this character in *Miopteryx spini*ger Blanchard was not seen in any other taxa. All other examined terminal taxa have shorter palpomere dimensions.

Character 9.—Mesal antennal spines: (0)



Fig. 5. Left maxillae of Elaphidiini (ventral view). (A) Centrocerum exornatum; (B) Curtomerus flavus; (C) Elaphidion mucronatum; (D) Phoracantha semipunctata.

absent (Fig. 13); (1) present and acute shape: (0) linear (Fig. 22, 45A, B); (I) grad-(Figs. 8B, 9B, 45, 46); (2) present and blunt ually widened at apices (Fig. 45C); (2) fully (Fig. 28). Nearly all traditional elaphidiine appendiculate (Fig. 13). The majority of taxa possess mesal antennal spines on at taxa examined have antennomeres which least the third antennomere. All preliminary are approximately parallel-sided for the enoutgroup taxa lacked these spines except tire length, i.e. linear or gradually widened for Allotraeus and Cordylomera. Anoploat the apices. Only Aneflus, Axestinus, Micurius Fisher, Axestinus (Fig. 45), Curtocraneflus, Neaneflus Linsley, and Coleomerus, Elaphidion elegans, Eurysthea xestia Aurivillius have the apices greatly Thomson, Micraneflus Linsley, and Morexpanded. phaneflus Martins and Napp lack these Character 12.—Antennal carinae: (0) abspines. Some Aneflomorpha Casey species, sent; (1) single or double carinate (Fig. Centrocerum Chevrolat, Micropsyrassa 45B, C). Antennal carinae are narrow ridg-Linsley, Neoperiboeum Linsely, and most es where the cuticle appears to be abruptly Stenelaphus alienus Linsley specimens pinched in a long, straight line. Sometimes have blunt spines on the third antennomere. antennomeres are sulcate (Fig. 45A) and su-Character 10.—Lateral antennal spines: perficially appear carinate, however, this is (0) present (Figs. 8A, 9A); (1) absent. a result of a broad depression and shadow Character 11.—Lateral antennomere which sometimes occurs along its edge.



16

18



Figs. 6–10. Lateral closure of mesocoxa and spination terminology in Elaphidiini. 6, Mesocoxa closed laterally in *Nesostizocera floridana*. (A) mesosternum; (B) mesepistemum; (C) metastemum; (D) mesepimeron; (E) closure separating mesocoxa from mesepimeron; (F) mesocoxa; (G) metepistemum. 7, Mesocoxa open laterally in *Megapsyrassa xestioder* 8, Dorsal habitus of *Elaphidion spinicorne*. (A) lateral antennal spine; (B) mesal antennal spine; (C) lateral femoral spine; (D) mesal femoral spine; (E) sutural elytral spine; (F) apicolateral elytral spine. 9, Enlargement of Fig. 8, showing apex of left elytron. (A) lateral antennal spine; (B) mesal antennal spine; (C) lateral femoral spine; (D) mesal femoral spine 10, (E) sutural elytral spine; (F) apicolateral elytral spine.

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI

This character, like ornmatidial structure, has been used historically to separate Sphaerionini (carinae present) from the rest of Elaphidiini (carinae absent) (Lacordaire 1869; Linsley 1936; Linsley 1961b). Linsley (1936) acknowledged, however, that this character was not absolute in that it occurred in some species of Elaphidion. I have found antennal carinae to be widespread in Elaphidiini, with no regional patterns. Carinae were absent from all provisional outgroup taxa examined, except *Neo*compsa Martins.

Character 13.—Antennal segmentation: (0) 12 antennomeres; (1) 11 antennomeres. Most species of *Aneflus* and all *Axestinus, Etymosphaerion* Martins and Monné, and *Psyrassaforma* Chemsak have antennae distinctly 12-segmented. Many additional taxa (including some *Aneflus* species) have the eleventh segment constricted, superficially appearing 12-segmented (Fig. 48), but this is not the case (see discussion of Character 15).

Character 14.—Length of third antennomere of male: (0) approximately length of pronotum or greater (Fig. 46C); (1) about half length of pronotum (this state also present in females) (Fig. 46A); (2) approximately two-thirds length of pronotum (Figs. 8, 46B). This character is sexually dimorphic. Generally, males have longer antennae than females in Elaphidiini. This is particularly evident in the length of the third antennomere. For this reason, comparing females of genus "a" with males of genus "b" would be inappropriate.

Character 15.—Pseudosegmental setae on terminal antennomere: (0) present (Fig. (1929: 118-119), who coined the term 48); (1) absent. The setae, if present, occur "pseudo-segment" to refer to the modified in a poorly defined post-medial ring around eleventh antennomere. Most taxa examined antennomere 11 and are often associated have these pseudosegmental setae present. with a small constriction. I hypothesize that Character 16.—Median pronotal callus: these setae are serially homologous to those (0) present (Fig. 8, 12D, E); (1) absent (Fig. at the apex of the other antennomeres and 12A-C, F-I). A median pronotal callus is a provide evidence of (1) fusion of two anwell-defined slightly raised region in the tennomeres, or (2) incomplete expression of center of the pronotal disc with little or no a gene coding for a twelfth antennomere. punctation and pubescence. In some taxa, a This character has been discussed in Carter central region is defined, but this is due to



Fig. 11. Fossils from Florissant, Colorado (MCZC) placed in Elaphidiini by Wickham (1914). (A), (B) *Anelaphus extinctus* (Wickham); (*C*) *Stenosphenus pristinus* Wickham.

elevations or rugosities surrounding it. Both tum, ending in a sharp point are classified states have a wide distribution in examined taxa.

(0) pronounced (Fig. 12D-F); (1) present but reduced (Fig. 19, covered with dense amined lack these tubercles. Only Mallopubescence); (2) absent (Fig. 12A-C, G-I). The pronounced state (0) has well-defined areas around the central pronotal disc which are raised and devoid of punctation. Peripheral pronotal calli which are present but reduced (state 1) appear as raised, partially punctate regions around the central pronotal disc. Peripheral pronotal calli are absent (state 2) when there is no differentiation in protuberance and punctation of the regions around the pronotal disc. All states are widely distributed.

Character 18.—Posterior margin of procoxal cavities: (0) open (Fig. 18); (1) closed (Fig. 27). Closure of the procoxal cavities at the posterior margin of the prothorax is a function of the width of the apex of the prosternal process and the projection of the hypomeron. If both of these structures make contact, the procoxal cavities are closed posteriorly. If not, they are open.

Character 19.-Lateral margin of procoxal cavities: (0) open (Fig. 17); (1) closed (Fig. 24). The open state is recognized by a broad lateral exposure of the trochantin and its articulation with the antero-lateral region of the procoxa. The procoxae are trapezoidal-shaped punctures which are alclosed laterally when the trochantin is hidden and the propleuron and prosternum are fused very close to the coxae. This character was a fundamental one used by Lacordaire (1869) to separate the sphaerionine "group" from the rest of Elaphidiini. In anoplium species have confluent, alveolate nearly all South American genera, the open punctation. The other states of this characstate occurs. In most of the primarily North American genera and the provisional outgroup taxa, the closed state is predominant. not striate; (1) striate. Ventral prothoracic

Character 20.-Lateral pronotal tubercles: (0) acute (Figs. 12F, 41A); (1) rounded (Figs. 12E, 41B); (2) absent (Figs. 12A-C, G-I, 41C-E). Pronota are highly modified in many genera of Elaphidiini. Narrow and 12F). The rounded callus at the base of the elongate lateral projections of the prono- pronotum occurs only in Nyssicus.

as acute; broad lateral protuberances which are not sharp at the apex are denoted as Character 17.—Peripheral pronotal calli: rounded; a pronotum without distinct lateral processes has state 3. Most of the taxa excera, Mephritus Pascoe, Nesostizocera floridana (Linsley), Nyssicus Pascoe, Orion, Phoracantha, Pseudoperiboeum Linsley, Rhomboidederes Zajciw, and Sphaerion have acute pronotal tubercles. These are subject to sexual dimorphism in Mephritus and Sphaerion, with males generally having them less pronounced.

> Character 21.-Transverse ridges on pronotal disc: (0) present (Fig. 12H); (1) absent (Fig. 12A-G, I). Only Championa, Ironeus submetallicus, Metironeus Chemsak, and Stizocera plicicollis (Germar) have transverse ridges on the pronotum.

> Character 22.—Dorsal pronotum punctation: (0) absent to very sparse (Fig. 12G, H); (1) moderately dense (Fig. 12D); (2) confluent but not alveolate (Fig. 12A); (3) confluent and alveolate (Fig. 12B). State 0 has punctures separated by more than $10 \times$ their diameters; state 1 has rounded punctures separated by an average of $1-5 \times$ their diameters; state 2 has rounded punctures, the majority of which are in contact with one another, without alveolate partitions separating them; state 3 has elongate or veolate, with thin partitions separating them. Anopliomorpha Linsley, Anoplocurius Fisher, Conosphaeron Linsley, Gymnospyra magnipunctata (Knull), Micropsyrassa bimaculata (Bates), and most Perter are more widespread.

Character 23.—Prothoracic venter: (0) striae occur only in Rhomboidederes.

Character 24.—Posterior margin of pronotum: (0) without medial callus (Fig. 12A-E, G-I); (1) with medial callus (Fig.





Character 25.—Postero-medial pronotal constriction: (0) absent (Fig. 12A-D, F, I); (1) present (Fig. 12E, G, H). Ironeus submetallicus, Nesostizocera, and Stizocera tion (Fig. 42): (0) absent (Fig. 42A); (1) preshave the pronotum constricted posteriorly with a small antero-medial extension of this constriction.

Character 26.--Postero-lateral pronotal constriction: (0) present (Fig. 12E, G, H); (1) absent (Fig. 12A-D, F, I). Only Anoplocurius, Nephaliodes Linsley, Psyrassa Pascoe, and Stizocera have a strong constriction of the pronotum at or anterior to the posterior sixth.

Character 27.-Sexual prosternal punctaent (Fig. 42B). This is a sexually dimorphic character which, in males, is recognized as distinct patches of punctation anterior to the procoxae, and denser than surrounding punctation. Typically, these regions are surrounded by narrow, impunctate borders. In females, the prosternal punctation is non-differentiated. Both states are widespread.

Character 28.—Prosternal projection shape between procoxae: (0) linear, without expansions (Fig. 14); (1) broad and expanded apically only (Fig. 18); (2) broad and expanded laterally only; (3) broad and expanded apically and laterally. The linear prostemal projection which occurs only in Anoplocurius, Terpnissa Bates, and Ectenessa Bates, is recognized as a vertical planar projection lying between very **narrowly** separated procoxae. All other states have the prosternal projection broad and flattened horizontally between the procoxae. Most taxa have state 1, with a slight or strong expansion at the apex. Eburia Lepeletier and Coleoxestia have state 3, with expansions between the procoxae as well as apically.

22

Character 29.—Abruptly declivous prostemal projection (Fig. 44): (0) absent (Fig. 44A); (1) present (Fig. 44B). State 1 is present only in Elaphidion and Eburia. In some *Elaphidion* there is also a posteriorly directed process extending into the mesosternum.

Character 30.-Lateral mesocoxal cavities: (0) open to mesepimeron (Fig. 7); (1) closed to mesepimeron (Fig. 6). The states of this character are interpreted slightly differently from Linsley and Chemsak (1984, Fig. 1). When the open state is present, the mesostemum and metastemum do not contact one another laterad to the mesocoxae. This leaves an open space which allows contact between the mesepimeron and the mesocoxae. When the lateral mesocoxal cavities are closed, the coxae are completely surrounded by the meso- and metasternum. This character is usually difficult to interpret without clearing of the specimen since the point of contact is sometimes beneath the plane of the meso and metasternum. Both states are widely distributed.

Character 31.—Anterior margin of mesostemum (Fig. 35): (0) as in Fig. 35C; (1) as in Fig. 35D; (2) as in Fig. 35F; (3) as in Fig. 35E; (4) as in Fig. 35A, B; (6) as in state 2, but anterior margin abruptly directed posteriorly at sides. The mesostemum is

variously modified with regard to the anterior margin and mesostemal process between the mesocoxae. State 6 occurs only in the North American genera, Anelaphus, Nesodes Linsley, Orwellion, Parelaphidion, and Peranoplium. It is like state 2 (Fig. 35F) except for the anterior margin being abruptly directed posteriorly at the sides. State 3 occurs only in Aposphaerion Bates. The other states are widespread among the terminal taxa.

Character 32.-Mesosternum between coxae: (0) planar or slightly angled; (1) abruptly vertical. The abrupt vertical orientation of the anterior margin of the mesostemum is found only in *Championa*.

Character 33.—Mesosternal process width: (0) very wide; (1) **narrow**. The very broad mesostemal process, separating the procoxae by a distance greater than their diarneter, is found only in Anopliomorpha.

Character 34.—Mesostemal notch (Fig. 35): (0) present; (1) absent. The notch at the posterior margin of the mesostemum is absent only in *Ectenessa* and *Cordylomera*.

Character 35.—Mesonotal lateral projection orientation: (0) anteriorly oriented (towards head); (1) medially oriented between anterior and posterior margins of mesonotum (Fig. 36A-F); (2) postenorly oriented (towards scutellum). All taxa examined have the lateral projections of the mesonotum medially oriented except for Championa and Astromula Chemsak and Linsley, which have them anteriorly oriented, and Cordylomera which has the arms posteriorly oriented.

Character 36.-Mesonotum lateral arm shape: (0) rounded (Fig. 36A-F); (1) acute and directed posteriorly. Acute lateral arms of the mesonotum arising medially but pointing posteriorly are only found in Stenelaphus Linsley.

Character 37.-Mesonotal median projection: (0) abruptly rounded (Fig. 36E, F); (1) absent or shallowly rounded (Fig. 36A-C); (2) very acute (Fig. 36D). The three states are widely distributed.

Character 38.-Mesoscutum pigmenta-

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI



Figs. 13-22. Anatomical characters in Elaphidiini. 13, Aneflus obscurus, dorsal view of left antenna and elytron, A) laterally appendiculate antenna (lateral is to the left); (B) "typical" recumbent pubescence. 14, Terpnissa listropterina, oblique ventral view of cleared prothorax, showing linear prosternal projection. 15. Elaphidion portoricensis, dorsal view of elytron and pronotum, showing epipleural tooth. 16. Stizocera poeyi, lateral view of elytron, showing "flying hairs." 17, Nyssicus topographicus, ventrd view of prothorax showing laterally open procoxal cavities 18, Anelaphus moestus, posterior view of cleared prothorax, showing posteriorly open procoxal cavities and moderately expanded procoxd process apically 19, Linsleyonides chemsaki, dorsal view of head and pronotum, showing post-ocular pubescence patches. 20. Sphaerion sp., ventral view of cleared pterothorax, showing mesocoxal projections from mesostemum absent. 21. Castiale elegantula, ventral view of cleared pterothorax, showing mesocoxal projections from mesosternum present. 22, ventral view of nght legs and antenna showing linear antenna and tibial carina.

tation occurs only in Centrocerum. Character 39.—Scutellum basal constric-

tion: (0) present (Fig. 36B, C); (1) absent (Fig. 36A, D-F). The constricted state is common in North American genera includ-

tion: (0) absent; (1) present on posterior Cockerell, Orwellion. and Peranoplium but half. The distinctive mesoscutum pigmen- also occurs sporadically in South American genera as well as the Asian Allotraeus. Most taxa examined lack the scutellar basal constriction.

Character 40.—Scutellum apex (posteri-or): (0) truncate; (1) rounded (Fig. 36C-F); ing Anelaphus. Elaphidion, Eustromula (2) acute (Fig. 36B); (3) notched (Fig.

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI





Figs. 23-28. Morphological characters in Elaphidiini. 23, Tropimerus hovorei, mesofemoral carina. 24, generalized laterally closed procoxal cavity. 25, metafemoral apices of Pantonyssus nigriceps. (A) spinose laterally; (B) dentiform mesally. 26, metafemoral apices of Anelaphus moestus. (C) rounded laterally; (D) rounded mesally. 27, Megapsyrassa xestiodes, ventral view of prothorax; arrow shows procoxal cavities closed posteriorly. 28, Neoperiboeum juanitae, base of antenna (first antennomere on left), showing blunt spine on antennomere 3.

36A). The rounded scutellum is widespread among most taxa examined; the acute state less so. The truncate scutellum occurs only in *Curtomerus* and the notched state occurs only in Meganeflus Linsley.

24

Character 41.—Basal width of scutellum: (0) approximately equal to width of mesonotum: (1) much wider than mesonotum. The wide scutellar base occurs only in Neoperiboeum.

Character 42.—Junction of mesonotum and scutellum: (0) free dorsally; (1) completely fused. The fused condition occurs only in *Miopteryx*.

Character 43.—Lateral projections into mesocoxae from mesosternal process: (0) absent (Fig. 20); (1) present (Fig. 21). The presence of lateral projections is defined

both by rounded protuberances (condyles) of the mesosternum between the mesocoxae and corresponding concave depressions (acetabular excavations) on the coxae. As with most characters, clearing is necessary to accurately determine the state present. Both states are widespread in the examined taxa.

Character 44.—Mesepisternal carina (Fig. 31): (0) abruptly angled carina (Fig. 31A); (1) carina absent; (2) rounded carina (Fig. 31B); (3) straight, incomplete carina (Fig. 31C). The states of this character can only be seen with moderate clearing of the cuticle. State 0 is the most widespread. State 1 occurs only in Anopliomorpha, Championa, Mephritus, Nyssicostylus, Nyssicus, Sphaerion, Terpnissa, Ectenessa, and Achryson. State 2 occurs only in Pseudo-



ridges in Anelaphus moestus moestus. (A) view of the anterior margin; (B) view of the posterior margin.

mallocera Zajciw. State 3 occurs only in

(see Fig. 49) that determines the shape of Atylostagma White, Miopteryx, and Hesthis notch. If both sclerites come together perophanes Dejean. at an angle of less than 45 degrees, then the Character 45.—Shape of metasternal notch is acute or blunt. An acute notch has notch: (0) acute; (1) rounded; (2) blunt. The a pointed apex; a blunt notch has a rounded metastemal notch is the region of the metaapex. If both sclerites come together at an sternum that receives the antero-medial exangle greater than 60 degrees, then the tension of the first abdominal ventrite. It is metastemal notch is rounded. The acute the angle of the sclerites on the inner, anstate is most widespread among the taxa exterior margin of the posterior coxal cavities amined. Only Clausirion Martins and Napp.



Figs. 29-34. Morphological characters in Elaphidiini. Arrows indicate anterior. 29, Elaphidion mucronatum, dorsal view of head showing mandibular asymmetry. 30, Mandibular states. dorsal views of right mandible. (A) Astromula nitidum; (B) Protomallocera hilairei; (C) Nephaliodes rutilus, 31, Lateral view of mesothorax, showing mesepisternal states (arrow points to anterior). (A) Anelaphus moestus; (B) Pseudomallocera auriflua; (C) Miopteryx spiniger. 32, Lateral view of pterothorax, showing metepisternal-elytral junction in Megapsyrassa huberi (heavy stippling indicates region of metepistemum ventral to keel). 33, Lateral view of pterothorax, showing metepisternalelytral junction in Psyrassa sp. (heavy stippling indicates region of metepisternum ventral to keel). 34, Metacoxal

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI

Eurysthea, Stenosphenus, Terpnissa, Hes- the cuticle is usually membranous, and beperophanes, and Cordvlomera have the rounded state.

Character 46.—Metasternal sulcus: (0) complete; (1) incomplete. The metasternal groove is an invagination corresponding to the internal metendosternite. The typical, complete condition is present when the groove runs anteriorly to near the plane at the posterior margin of the metacoxae (Fig. 49). The incomplete condition does not attain the coxae and typically extends anteriorly only half the length of the metasternum. The complete state is widespread. The incomplete condition occurs in many West-Indian genera not included in the analyses: Linsleyonides, Nesanoplium Chemsak, Nesiosphaerion Martins and Napp, and Elaphidionopsis Linsley.

Character 47.-Posterior notch of metepisternum (Fig. 38): (0) absent or subtle indentation (Fig. 38A); (1) narrow, shallow indentation (Fig. 38C); (2) wide and deep (usually extending at least one-third the width of the metepisternum at the posterior edge, below the keel) (Fig. 38B, D). This notch, if present, occurs on the posterior margin or postero-ventral margin of the metepisternum, and is most easily seen with some clearing of the cuticle. All states are widespread among the examined taxa.

Character 48.—Metepisternal notch proiection: (0) absent: (1) small lateral bump present. If present, the projection is located in the region of the metepistemal notch, on the postero-ventral margin of the metepisternum. This is best seen by looking down the metepisternum from the anterior end. Of the specimens examined for the phylogenetic analysis, this projection was found only in *Sphaerion*. Subsequent examination of non-dissected Mephritus species has revealed a small protuberance in the same region of the metepisternum.

Character 49.-Metepisternal keel position: (0) dorsally positioned (Fig. 38B); (1) approximately midway positioned (Fig. 38A, C, D). The keel is the longitudinal ridge along the metepisternum above which

low which it is more heavily sclerotized. Both states are widespread among examined taxa, although the dorsally-positioned carina is more common among provisional outgroup taxa.

Character 50.-Metepisternal sclerotization: (0) even sclerotization above and below keel; (1) Highly sclerotized ventrally below keel and membranous dorsally (Fig. 38A-D). State 1, differential sclerotization, is widespread among most taxa examined. Those taxa with even sclerotization above and below the keel include: Appula, Atylostagma, Championa, Clausirion, Sphaerion, Terpnissa, Ectenessa, Neocompsa, Batyle Thomson, Hesperophanes, Coleoxestia, and Cordylomera.

Character 51.-Mesal mesofemoral apices: (0) spinose (as in Figs. 8D, 9D); (1) dentiform (Fig. 25B); (2) rounded (Fig. 26D). Spinose femoral apices are acute, pointed processes extending beyond the tibial insertion and having an angle about the apex of less than 45 degrees. Dentiform femoral apices are pointed at the apex, do not extend much beyond the tibial insertion, and have an angle about the apex of greater than 60 degrees. Rounded femoral apices have a rounded apex and do not extend much beyond the tibial insertion. Dentiform and rounded states are widespread among the examined taxa. Those with spinose mesal mesofemoral apices include: Atylostagma, Castiale, Elaphidion, Nyssicus, Phoracantha, Stizocera, and Eburia.

Character 52.—Lateral mesofemoral apices: (0) spinose (as in Figs. 8C, 9C); (1) dentiform (as in Fig. 25B); (2) rounded (Fig. 26C). See state descriptions for Character 51. Dentiform and rounded states are widespread among the examined taxa. Those with spinose lateral mesofemoral apices include: Appula, Castiale, Neomallocera, Pantonyssus Bates, Parastizocera, and Phoracaptha Character 53.—Mesal metafemoral api-

ces: (0) spinose (Figs. 8D, 9D); (1) dentiform (as in Fig. 25A); (2) rounded (Fig.



Figs. 35-36. Morphological characters in Elaphidiini. Arrow indicates anterior. 35, Mesosternal variation, arrow (B) Allotraeus sphaerioninus; (C) Parelaphidion aspersum; (D) Trichophoroides niveus; (E) Parastizocera procera; (F) Periboeum acuminatum

indicates anterior. (A) Miopteryx spiniger; (B) Conosphaeron concolor; (C) Elaphidion mucronatum; (D) Castiale Aposphaerion longicolle; (F) Appula lateralis. 36, Mesonotal variation. (A) Meganeflus fulvipennis;

LINGAFELTER: GENERA OF ELAPHIDIINI

INDEX

	Culiu duo doug Commingon & Horold 60
acuminatum Thomson. Periboeum	Cystamarus Comminger & Harold
Adiposphaerion Martins & Napp 47. 48. 100	desiniera Datas Trighenhoroides
albisparsus Bates. Trichophoroides 95. 96	dicholique Lameere Manharitus
albomaculatus Champlain & Knull, Linsleyonides 65	diatingtus Noumon Ambonus
aliena Linsley. Psyrassa	deziari Fisher Stingera
alienus LeConte, Stenelaphus 90, 92	dupler Pates Ironeus
Allotraeus Bates	Elaphidian Audinet-Serville 59 60 100 104
Ambonus Gistel	Elaphidiononsis Linsley
Amethysphaerion Martins & Monne 49. 102	Elaphidium Agassiz
amictus Newman. Mephritus	elegans Chemsek Championa
Aneflomorpha Casey 34. 48. 52. 102. 105	elegans Chevrolat Flankidion
Aneflus LeConte	elegantula Perroud Castiale
Anelaphus Linsley 54. 48. 52. 102. 105	Flanhidionoidas Linsley
Anepsyra Casey	arichsoni White Pantonyssus
Anophomorpha Linsley · · · · · · · 48. 52. 101	Engnhalodas Haldeman
Anoplocurius Fisher 53. 54. 98	Enupration Martins & Monné 59. 63. 105
Apoclausirion Martins & Napp 33. 99	Euristhea Lacordaire
<i>Aposphaerion</i> Bates 43. 55. 104	Euristica Thomson
Appula Thomson	Eurysinea Thomson
aspera Knull, Gymnospyra · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Eustromula Cockerell
aspersum Haldeman. Parelaphidion	Eustromata Cockeren 11111111111111111111111111111111111
Astromula Chernsak & Linsley 54. 50. 105	eximium Bates Anelaphus
Atharsus Bates 56.99	evornatum Newman, Centrocerum
atiaia Martins & Napp. Stizocera	exoticum Martins & Napp Pilisphaerion
atomarius Drury, Enaphalodes 59.62	extinctus Wickham Anelanhus 10 19
Atylostagma White	fasciatinennis Linsley Flanhidiononsis 59 61 95
Aulacoscapus Linsley	flavus Fabricius Curtomerus
aurifiua Klug, Pseudomatilocera	floridana Linsley. Stizocera
auriviii Meizer, Nyssicostytus	fracticorne Wickham Elaphidion 10
Augustinus L. Conta	fuchsii Wickham. Neanefus
Axestinus Leconte	fulvinennis Bates Meganefus
basicornis Pascoe. Psyrassa	gibbulum Bates. Orwellion
bicolor Martins, Minipsyrassa	glabra Schaeffer, Atylostagma
Dimaculata Bales. Micropsylassa	glauca Audinet-Serville. Mallocera
castaneum Chemsak & Linsley Sphaerionillum 90	globosus Knull, Romulus
Castiala Dasaga	granulosum Martins & Napp. Parasphaerion 81
Cashale Pascoe	<i>Gymnospyra</i> Linsley
Cantro camme Champlet 54.57, 104	Haplosphaerion Linsley
Championa Datas	Hemilissopsis Lane
championa Bales	Hemistizocera Linslev
Clausinian Marting & Napp	hesperus Chemsak, Metironeus 69
cloudsi I one Hamilissansis	hilairei Gounelle, Protomallocera · · · · · · 85
comptum Marting & Napp Clausition 54 58	hirta Kirby. Paramallocera · · · · · · · · · · · 78
complaint Martinis & Napp. Clausifient 1111 54 50	hoferi Knull, Anelaphus · · · · · · · · 48. 52
Conosphagrion Linsley	hovorei Chemsak. Metironeus · · · · · · · · 66
Conosphaeron Linsley	hovorei Giesbert. Tropimerus 95
Condulomera Serville	Hypermallus Lacordaire
cribricollis Bates Psyrasa	imbellis Casey. Micraneflus 66. 70
Crocidastus Pascoe	inornatum Chemsak & Linsley. Anelaphus 52
Curtomerus Stephens · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	insulana Gahan, Stizocera
cvaneus Giesbert, Tronimerus · · · · · · · · · · · · 97	insulare White, Nesiosphaerion
cyanipenne Audinet-Serville. Sphaerion · · · · · 91	insularis Linsley. Nesodes
Cycliopleurus Hope · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	intricatum Galileo & Martins. Piezophidion · · · · 84
Cylindera Newman · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 60	<i>Ironeus</i> Bates

Lampracantha Thomson
Lampracanthus Thomson 7
Lampromerus Thomson · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 6
lateralis White. Appula
Linsleyonides Skiles
lippus Germar, Ambonus 49
listropterina Bates. Terpnissa
maculatum Chemsak & Noguera. Anelaphus 52
magnipunctata Knull, Gymnospyra 64
Mallocera Audinet-Serville
marginatus Bates. Miltesthus
<i>Megapsyrassa</i> Linsley
<i>Mephritus</i> Pascoe
$Metironeus Chemsak \cdots \cdots$
Micranefius Linsley
Micranoplium Linsley
Micropsyrassa Linstey
Miningspragg Marting 71. 72. 101
Minipsyrussu Martins 71, 73, 104 Mionterux Blanchard 71, 73, 100
magning LeConto Anglaphus 18
Morphaneflus Martine & Napp 71 74 105
Moureana Zaiciw 89
Neaneflus Linsley
Neomallocera Martins & Napp
Neoperiboeum Linsley
Neotrichophoroides Linsley
Nephaliodes Linsley
Nephalius Newman 91
Nesanoplium Chemsak • • • • • • • • 76, 95. 99. 104
Nesiophaerion Martins & Napp 71. 76. 95. 104
Nesodes Linsley
Nesostizocera Linsley ····· 36. 93
nigricauda Bates. Atharsus
nigriceps Bates. Pantonyssus
nigricorne Martins & Napp. Apoclausirion 55 nigripes Martins & Monné, Amethysphaerion 49
nitida Chemsak. Psyrassaforma
nitidum Chemsak & Linsley, Astromula 54.56
niveus Linsley. Trichophoroides
Nyssicostylus Melzer 77. 78. 100 Nyssicus Pascoe 78, 79. 99
obliqua Audinet-Serville, Eurysthea
ocellicollis Zajciw, Rhomboidederes
opulenta Newman. Neomallocera
$Orwellion Skiles \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots 78, 79, 103$
palpalis Bates. Poecilomallus · · · · · · 83. 85 Pantonyssus Bates · · · · · · · · · 78. 80. 100
Paramallocera Aurivillius

116

1

117

jansoni Bates. Trichophoroides	5
jassuara Martins & Napp. Stizocera	3 Parasphaerion Martins & Napp $\dots \dots $
hcordairei Lacordaire Paramallocera 80) $Parastizocera Linsley \cdots (8.81.99)$
Lampracantha Thomson	$Paretaphidion Skiles \cdots \cdots$
Lampracanthus Thomson 79	patagonius Guérin-Méneville. Orion 39, 78
Lampromerus Thomson 60	Peranoplium Linsley · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
lateralis White Appula	$Periboeum \text{ Thomson } \dots $
Linslevanides Skiles	Phoracantha Newman · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
linnus German Ambonus	phtisica Gounelle. Stizocera 93
	piceum Chemsak. Anelaphus 52
listropterina Bates. Terpnissa	Piezophidion Galileo & Martins
Jugens LeConte Stenospherus	pilicornis Fuchs. Trichophoroides
maculatum Chemsel & Noguera Andenhur 52	Pilisphaerion Manins & Napp
magninungtata Knull Commannia	pilosella Bates. Micropsyrassa · · · · · · · · 70
Mallocara Audinet Serville 66 67 00	plicicollis Germar, Stizocera
	Poecilomallus Bates
<i>marginatus</i> Bates. <i>Miltesthus</i>	poevi Guérin-Méneville Stizocera
Magannyman Lingler	polita White, Atylostagma 57
Megapsyrassa Linsley	portoricensis Fisher, Elaphidion
Metiropaus Chomsels 66 60 101	pristinus Wickham, Stenosphenus 10, 19
Micronetty Chemistak ····· 66 70 105	procera Erichson, Parastizocera,
Micranegius Lingles	prolixus Martins & Napp, Morphoneflus 71, 74
Microphymum Linsley	Protaneflus Linsley
Miltasthus Bates	protensus LeConte, Aneflus 51
Miningerassa Marting	Protomallocera Martins & Napp 85. 100. 103
Miontervy Blanchard 71 73 100	Protosphaerion Gounelle 83, 86. 103
noestus LeConte Anglanhus 48	Pseudaneflus Chemsak & Linsley
Morphanafus Martine & Napp 71 74 105	Pseudibidion Casey · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 87
Moureana Zaiciw 89	Pseudomallocera Zajciw · · · · · 83. 86. 98
Venneflus Linsley	Pseudoperiboeum Linsley 83. 87. 101. 102
Veomallocera Martine & Napp 71 74 102	<i>Psyrassa</i> Pascoe
Jeoneribourn Linsley	Psyrassaforma Chemsak
leotrichophoroidae Linsley 38 05	puberulum Fleutiaux & Sallé, Nesanoplium 76.95
lepholiodes Linsley	punctiventris Cazier & Lacey. Stizocera
lephalius Newman 01	quadriguttatus Swederus, Nyssicus
lesanonlium Chemsak	quadrisignatum Bates. Sphaerionillum
Vesionhaerion Martins & Napp 71, 76, 95, 104	ravidus Gounelle, Rhomboidederes · · · · · · 83
Vesodes Linsley	rectilinea Casey. Anefomorpha 48
Vesostizocera Linsley 36.03	<i>reticolle</i> Bates. <i>Anopliomorpha</i>
igricauda Bates Atharsus	Rhomboidederes Zajciw
gricens Bates Pantanysus	<i>Romaleum</i> White 62
indiana Martine & New Ave I is in the	<i>Romulus</i> Knull \cdots 89. 90. 104
gricorne Martins & Napp. Apoclausirion 55 gripes Martins & Monné Amethysphaerion 49	rubristerna Martins & Napp. Sphaerioeme 89. 90
Stepes that this & Monne, The Hyspitae ton, 1, 1, 19	rubrum Martins & Napp. Adiposphaerion 47. 48
tidaa Chemsak. Psyrassaforma	rutilus Bates. Nephaliodes 717 5
tiaum Chemsak & Linsley, Astromula 54. 56	simile Schaeffer. Anelaphus
veus Linsley. Trichophoroides	sonoranus Casey, Aneflus · · · · · · · · · · · · 48
vssicostylus Melzer	Sotenus Sharp 60
v_{ssicus} Pascoe 78 79 99	Sphaerioeme Martins & Napp, 89. 90. 99
	Sphaerion Audinet-Serville
scurus LeConte Aneflus	Sphaerionillum Bates 90. 92. 105
ellicollis Zaiciw Rhomboidedana 80	Sphoerion Thomson
whenta Norman Normalia 71.74	spinicorne Drury, Elaphidion 18. 59. 60
<i>uenta</i> newman. <i>neomallocera</i>	spiniger Blanchard. Miopteryx 71, 73
<i>wellion</i> Skiles	spurcus LeConte, Anelaphus 48. 52
Inglis Dates Pagailow allow 200	<i>Stenelaphus</i> Linsley
<i>ntonyssus</i> Bates	stenosphenopsis Linsley
ramallocera Aurivillius	Stenosphenus Haldeman · · · · · · · · · 90. 93. 105
	<i>Litizocera</i> Audinet-Serville 36. 90. 93. 100. 103